

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/080,703	02/25/2002	Joseph Stephen Eckerle	105270 8350		
25944 75	590 05/04/2004		EXAMINER		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			NASSER, ROBERT L		
ALEXANDRIA	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3736	3736	
			DATE MAILED: 05/04/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/080,70)3	ECKERLE ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
	•	Robert L.	Nasser	3736			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)[Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>4/7/2004</i> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is n	on-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-23 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicati	on Papers						
,	The specification is objected to by th						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
3) 🛛 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ate ratent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/080,703

Art Unit: 3736

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-6 in the paper filed 4/7/2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the subject matter is sufficiently related to be able to be searched together. This is not found persuasive because the three groups have sufficiently different subject matter and would indeed impose a significant burden on the examiner.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 7-23 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The subject matter of claim 1, the damping element, and the subject matter of claims 3 and 4, i.e. that the change in mass reduces distortion, is not disclosed.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/080,703

Art Unit: 3736

Claim 1 recites that the device has a damping element in the waveform detecting device. The discussion of figure 7 mentions no damping element. Figure 10 makes reference to a damping element. However, figure 10 is a circuit model of the invention and not the invention. Therefore the only disclosure of a damping element is in the model of figure 10. A such, it is unclear how to make and use the device, as applicant ha snot disclosed how to make the device with a damping element.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 4, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Curran. In figures 3-5, Curran shows a device having a sensor 26a for measuring a vascular waveform, a sensor case i.e. sensor interface 226, a sensor holding member 222, a damping element, e.g. damping ring 164 that absorbs motion and other noise and thereby reduces distortion. The examiner notes that the greater than damping constant, the less the distortion. In addition, with respect to claims 4 and 5, both the sensor and the holding member have a mass. The greater the mass, the more firmly the device will press against he skin and the more the distortion will be reduced.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/080,703

Art Unit: 3736

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Curran in view of Nissila et al. Curran does not have the spring. Nissila et al shows an alternate sensor for measuring the same parameters as Curran, where it has a spring that meets the claim language. Hence, it would have been obvious to modify the Curran to use the arrangement of Nissila, as it is merely the substitution of one known sensor holder for another. The examiner notes that the spring presses the device into the skin, causing increased conformance with the artery and thereby reducing distortion.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Bridger and Amano show alternate pressure waveform sensors.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert L. Nasser whose telephone number is (703) 308-3251. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, variable hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (703) 308-3130. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3736

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert L. Nasser Primary Examiner Art Unit 3736

Colot & Messy

RLN April 30, 2004

> ROBERT L. NASSER PRIMARY EXAMINER